

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/214,453	10/25/1999	PETER FRANCIS LEADLAY		8705
110 75	90 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN saet 1601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			KERR, KATHLEEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/214,453	LEADLAY ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathleen M Kerr	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the cellater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 July 2004. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	ellant's Brief must be filed within R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	the period set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: The term "assmebly" is new to the claims		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,25,27,31-37,39,44,47-58 and 60</u>	<u>0-70</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
$9.\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	· • —	
		Kathleen M Kerr

Examiner Art Unit: 1652